

CABINET MEMBER FOR SAFE AND ATTRACTIVE NEIGHBOURHOODS

**Venue: Town Hall,
Moorgate Street,
Rotherham S60 2TH**

Date: Monday, 6th June, 2011

Time: 10.00 a.m.

A G E N D A

1. To determine if the following matters are to be considered under the categories suggested, in accordance with the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended March 2006).
2. To determine any item which the Chairman is of the opinion should be considered later in the agenda as a matter of urgency.
3. Petition - Fenwood Day House Nursery
4. Neighbourhoods General Fund Revenue Outturn 2010/11 (Pages 1 - 6)
5. Housing Investment Programme (HIP) 2010/11 and Other Capital Schemes (Pages 7 - 15)
6. Representation on Outside Bodies (Pages 16 - 18)
7. Exclusion of the Press and Public
Resolved:- That, under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in those paragraphs, indicated below, of Part I of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972.
8. Land at Braithwell Road, Maltby (Pages 19 - 24)
(Exempt under Paragraph 3 of the Act - information relating to the financial or business affairs of any person (including the Council))
9. Introductory Tenancy Review Panel (Pages 25 - 29)
(Exempt under Paragraph 2 of the Act - (information likely to reveal the identity of an individual))
10. Stage 3 Complaint Panel (Pages 30 - 36)
(Exempt under Paragraph 2 of the Act - (information likely to reveal the identity of an individual))

ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS
--

1.	Meeting:	CABINET MEMBER FOR SAFE AND ATTRACTIVE NEIGHBOURHOODS
2.	Date:	6th June 2011
3.	Title:	Neighbourhoods General Fund Revenue Outturn 2010/11
4.	Directorate:	Neighbourhoods and Adult Services

5. Summary

This report details the revenue outturn position for Neighbourhoods services accounted for in the General Fund. A separate report will cover Housing Revenue Account (HRA) services.

The budgets within Neighbourhoods have been monitored all year with management actions taken to reduce any financial pressures on the Service.

The final 2010/11 budget for the service was £4.456m. The 2010/11 outturn position against this budget is a net under spend of £346k (7.76%). The outturn shows an increase in the level of underspend from the previous forecast outturn position which showed a forecast under spend of (£162k).

It should be noted that a request to carryforward £23k of the unspent balance of Members' Community Leadership Fund will be included in the Council's consolidated outturn report to Cabinet.

6. Recommendations

THAT THE CABINET MEMBER RECEIVES AND NOTES THIS REPORT.

7. Proposals and Details

The table below shows the summary outturn position for the Directorate. Appendix 1 shows the more detailed analysis.

SERVICE	Annual Budget (Net)	Outturn to 31 st March 2011	Variance from Budget Deficit/ (Surplus)	Overall
	£000	£000	£000	%
Independent Living				
Asylum	30	30	0	
Housing Access	359	357	(2)	
Housing Choices	274	220	(54)	
Older People's Housing Services	102	94	(8)	
Housing & Neighbourhood Services				
Safer Neighbourhoods	2,551	2,416	(135)	
Business Regulation	421	352	(69)	
Neighbourhood Investment	65	69	4	
Neighbourhood Partnerships	654	572	(82)	
TOTAL	4,456	4,110	(346)	(7.76%)

Detailed analysis of the key areas of under spend are included in Appendix 1. The most significant area of under spend being (£135k) in the Safer Neighbourhoods service area.

Details of Key Underspends

Independent Living

7.1 Housing Choices (£54k)

Further savings have been made on the Homelessness area as a result of increased use of grant funding resulting in an under spend of £14k against the projected under spend of £5k. Cost of lighting for communal buildings was lower than anticipated resulting in an under spend of £32k against a projected balanced budget.

Housing & Neighbourhood Services

7.2 Safer Neighbourhoods (£135k)

A significant amount of the savings achieved in Safer Neighbourhoods area, in excess of (£100k), was as a result of vacant posts and Voluntary Severance/Retirement in addition to the careful controls in place on non-essential expenditure.

Also Domestic Violence area had some additional under spend (£11k) due to the late cancellation of some planned training after the provider was unable to deliver the programme.

7.3 Business Regulation (£69k)

The bulk of the savings in this area were as a result of vacant posts throughout the year and from staff taking Voluntary Severance/Retirement. However, some additional income (£20k) over that originally projected was received in Licensing services at the end of the financial year mainly due to increased licensing applications.

7.4 Neighbourhood Partnerships (£82k)

The under spend in this area was due to vacant posts in-year that were not filled and also a number of staff leaving under the Voluntary Severance scheme.

The Members Community Leadership Fund had an under spend of £29k, of which £23k was agreed on 11th April at Cabinet Member meeting for Community Development and Equality and Young Persons Issues as a request to Cabinet for carry forward of an earmarked balance to 11/12.

7.5 Agency & Consultancy

Neighbourhoods have had no Agency or Consultancy expenditure in 2010/11.

8. Finance

Financial implications for each service area have been discussed in section 7 above.

Requests for carry forwards totalling £23,000 will be made to Cabinet as part of the Council's overall Outturn report:

Community Leadership Fund	£23,000
---------------------------	---------

9. Risks and Uncertainties

Confirmation of this outturn position is subject to external audit verification during June/July.

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

The delivery of the Council's overall Revenue Budget within the limits determined for 2010/11 is vital to achieving the Council's Policy agenda. Financial performance is a key element to demonstrate of the Council's effective use of resources.

11. Background Papers and Consultation

- Report to Cabinet February 2010 – Proposed Revenue Budget and Council Tax for 2010/11
- The Council's Medium Term Financial strategy (MTFS) 2008 – 2011.

The contents of this report have been discussed with the Strategic Director of Neighbourhoods and Adults and the Strategic Director of Finance.

Contact Name: Sara Fitzhugh, Finance Manager
Extension 22092
sara.fitzhugh@rotherham.gov.uk

Reasons for Variance from Approved Budget 2010/2011

<u>Division of Service</u>	<u>Under (-) / Over (+) Spending (£)</u>	<u>Under / Over Spending as a % of Approved Budget</u>	<u>Key Reasons (for variances +/-£25k or +/-5%)</u>
<u>SAFER NEIGHBOURHOODS</u>			
MANAGEMENT & ADMIN	49,560	15.7%	Records Management costs, Staff Development costs, Salary Processing costs, Counselling costs, increased IT costs and one-off additional costs due to inclement weather in excess of budget.
COMMUNITY PROTECTION	-35,120	-4.5%	Vacancy management and salary savings due to Voluntary Severance
PEST CONTROL SERVICE	791	1.7%	
ENVIRO-CRIME TEAM	-96,659	-28.5%	Saving on salaries due to vacant posts & budgeted overtime, plus savings on Supplies & Services due to careful management of non-essential spend.
CLOSED LANDFILL SITES	14,069	15.5%	Increased Leachate clearance costs
NEIGHBOURHOOD WARDENS	-7,100	-1.1%	
COMMUNITY SAFETY UNIT (CSU)	-45,809	-24.0%	Salary savings due to Voluntary Severance and Supplies & Services savings.
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	-22,399	-54.4%	Supplies & Services savings and late cancellation of training by provider
ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	7,865	6.8%	Increased Mediation costs
HOME OFFICE ASB CHALLENGE/SUPPORT	0	0.0%	
LAA REWARD GRANT - ASB			
CSU CORE STAFF	0	0.0%	
SAFER ROTHERHAM PARTNERSHIP - REV	-250	-0.1%	
SUB TOTAL	-135,052	-5.3%	
<u>BUSINESS REGULATION</u>			
HEALTH & SAFETY	-21,096	-13.4%	Vacancy management and salary savings due to Voluntary Severance
FOOD & DRUGS	-25,899	-5.2%	Vacancy management and salary savings due to Voluntary Severance
ANIMAL HEALTH	-130	-0.3%	
TRADING STANDARDS	28,660	13.9%	Non achievement of Vacancy Factor
LICENSING	-47,284	-41.9%	Salary saving due to vacancies and postholder on secondment, plus additional income as a result of increased licensing applications in this year.
BEREAVEMENT SERVICES PARTNERSHIP	-3,575	-1.0%	
SUB TOTAL	-69,324	-16.5%	
<u>NEIGHBOURHOOD INVESTMENT</u>			
HOUSING MARKET RENEWAL MASTERPLANNING	0	0.0%	
ENERGY ADVICE	-3,393	-15.0%	Salary savings due to Voluntary Severance
GROUNDWORK TRUST	0	0.0%	
HCA NEW BUILD	0	0.0%	
REGISTERED SOCIAL LANDLORD PARTNERSHIP INCOME	7,628	-26.4%	Reduced income due to falling number of Social Landlords
EQUITY LOAN SCHEME	0	0.0%	
SUB TOTAL	4,234	6.5%	
<u>NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIPS</u>			
MEMBERS COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP FUND	-28,735	-29.3%	Members allocations not fully spent in year. See earmarked balance req
MANAGEMENT & ADMIN/AA/LABGI	-53,269	-9.7%	Salary savings due to a number of staff leaving due to Voluntary Severance
LABGI NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERS	0	0.0%	
LOCAL AMBITION PROGRAMME	-154	-1.9%	
AREA ASSEMBLIES LAA REWARD GRANT	0	0.0%	
SUB TOTAL	-82,159	-12.6%	
<u>HOUSING ACCESS</u>			
AGENCY, GRANTS & ENFORCEMENT	10,926	-107.5%	Income less than budgeted, partially offset by vacancy management
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	-1,669	-20.7%	Telephone bill refunds
1980 ACT VARIABLE ADVANCES	0	0.0%	
HMA GENERAL	-16,295	-101.0%	Reduced insurance premium
HOUSING ASSOCIATION - MORTG. ETC	2,320	26.5%	Mortgage income less than budgeted due to the number of mortgages nc
HOME IMP LOANS PHY H'CAP GEN	97	89.0%	
HGF MANAGEMENT & ADMIN	6,047	2.2%	
MEDICAL MOBILITY & COMMUNITY CARE	-3,402	-4.1%	
SUB TOTAL	-1,975	-0.5%	
<u>HOUSING CHOICES</u>			
LIGHTING OF STAIRCASES	-32,839	-37.8%	Reduced energy bills
CLEANING OF COMMUNAL DWELLINGS	0	0.0%	
RIGHT TO BUY FLAT SERVICE	252	9.2%	Income from sold properties slightly less than budgeted
RUSH HOUSE GENERAL	0	0.0%	
HOMELESSNESS & ADVICE	-18,891	-10.7%	Savings on Supplies and Services.
DISPERSED UNITS	0	0.0%	
KEY CHOICES PROPERTY MANAGEMENT	-2,644	100.0%	Income generated from Property Management in excess of budget.
EMPLOYMENT SOLUTIONS	0	0.0%	
EVICTON/REPOSESSION PREVENTION	0	0.0%	
LOW RISK-CREDIT CRUNCH	0	0.0%	
HIGH RISK-HOMELESS PREVENTION LOANS	0	0.0%	
CASE RESOLUTION PHASE 2	395	100.0%	Ineligible costs not funded by grant income
SUB TOTAL	-53,727	-19.6%	

Reasons for Variance from Approved Budget 2010/2011

<u>Division of Service</u>	<u>Under (-) / Over (+) Spending (£)</u>	<u>Under / Over Spending as a % of Approved Budget</u>	<u>Key Reasons (for variances +/-£25k or +/-5%)</u>
<u>ASYLUM SEEKERS</u>			
ASYLUM SEEKERS	0	0.0%	
SUB TOTAL	0	0.0%	
<u>INDEPENDENT SUPPORT SERVICE</u>			
INDEPENDENT SUPPORT SERVICE	-7,812	-7.6%	Salary savings due to a number of staff leaving due to Voluntary Severance
SUB TOTAL	-7,812	-7.6%	
Total	<u><u>-345,815</u></u>		

ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS
--

1.	Meeting:	CABINET MEMBER FOR SAFE AND ATTRACTIVE NEIGHBOURHOODS
2.	Date:	Monday 6th June 2011
3.	Title:	Housing Investment Programme (HIP) 2010/11 and Other Capital Schemes
4.	Directorate:	Neighbourhoods and Adult Services

5. Summary

The report sets out the final outturn position for the Housing Investment Programme (HIP) and Non HIP Capital Schemes for 2010/11. The HIP shows a year end underspend of £3.664m (7.27%) against budget.

6. Recommendations

THAT CABINET MEMBER RECEIVES AND NOTES THE REPORT.

7. Proposals and Details

- 7.1 A revised Housing Investment Programme (HIP) totalling £50.379m was approved by the Cabinet Member on 13th December 2010 against resources of £50.962m. The outturn position for each of the schemes within the HIP are included in Appendix 1.
- 7.2 The final outturn position is £46.715m which represents an underspend of £3.664m against the Programme. Within this overall underspend, it is worth noting that schemes managed by 2010 Rotherham Ltd (£26.545m) overspent by £1.090m (4.1%), whilst there was a £4.753m underspend (19.94%) on the £23.833m schemes managed by the Council. The following paragraphs provide the Cabinet Member with explanations for the main variances from the approved Programme.

2010 Ltd Managed Schemes

- 7.3 For the schemes managed by 2010 Rotherham Ltd, £27.635m has been spent which equates to an overspend of £1.090m against the approved Programme (£26.545m).

Decent Homes – Phase 2

- 7.4 The Refurbishment budget of £11.335m outturned at £13.577m, an overspend of £2.242m. On 4th October 2010 Cabinet Member was first informed of a potential £1.5m overspend following the need to amend the specification of Decent Homes work in East Herringthorpe and the confirmation of the Connaught settlement figure. To address these issues a virement of £400k from the Environmental works budget was approved together with the temporary use of £1.2m HRA balance in 2010/11 which will be replenished in 2011/12.

Since the above approvals, the final accounts for the other contractors have been received and in order to bring some of the properties to the Rotherham Decent Homes Standard extra structural and improvement works over and above the target costs agreed with the contractors have been incurred. This has led to Bramall Construction being overspent by £1.086m and Boots by £1.077m contributing to the overall Refurbishment overspend of £2.242m.

- 7.5 There was an underspend on the Windows Replacement Programme of £639k which arose due to reduced installation requirements on the planned windows programme. This became apparent following on site survey works to establish real demand on a property by property basis. Also, some communal areas scheduled for work in 2010/11 were deemed fit for purpose and re-allocated into future programmed schemes.

Other Capital Projects

- 7.6 The Disability Discrimination Act Works had a budget allocation of £100k and no final expenditure. This programme was to be focussed on works to the neighbourhood centres but as the review into them has still to be concluded there were no outcomes to act upon. The review should be completed in 2011/12 to enable the Council to ensure the centres will serve a wider customer base, offer an increased range of activities and still remain accessible to the public. Once this has been completed a programme will be set to fit the timescale for completion. The saving achieved in 2010/11 has partially offset the refurbishment overspends described above.
- 7.7 One-Off Properties – The original budget of £400k was revised during the review by 2010 Rotherham to assist with management of the Refurbishment budget pressures previously mentioned. Certain activities were slowed down, including the conversion of the Elizabeth Finch neighbourhood centre, and expenditure was capped at £240k. Actual expenditure was £228k due to a delay with an element of the work commissioned at Dawson Croft. This will now be completed in 2011/12.

RMBC Managed Schemes

- 7.8 The remainder of the programme is monitored by the Council and £19.080m was spent against the budget of £23.833m, an underspend of £4.753m.

Fair Access to All: Disabled Adaptations, Public Sector

- 7.9 Teething problems with the 2 new contractors (Morrison's and Willmott Dixon) invoicing system from November 2010 adversely impacted on the budget holders ability to monitor spend and on the budget holder's confidence to commit work towards the end of the year. This has now been overcome and revised invoicing arrangements have been agreed and implemented to resolve this issue. The volume of Occupational Therapy referrals also dropped significantly resulting in an underspend of £262k against the £1.8m budget.

Regeneration/Neighbourhood Renewal: Public Sector

Non-Traditional Investment - Structural

- 7.10 Expenditure outturned at £2.883m against the budget of £2.764m, an overspend of £118k. This was due to unforeseen underpinning foundation works, tenants alterations which had to be reinstated and the repositioning of electrical works after inspection. This project fully utilised the £2.615m of Capital Receipts set aside for this project.

Sheltered Housing Modifications

- 7.11 There is an underspend on this scheme of £207k as a result of the delay in the tender process for Phase 2 of the work. Design work was not completed until the end of January due to the adverse weather and the work will now be completed in 2011/12, with the corresponding amount of RHB Grant being rolled forward to fund this.

Regeneration/Neighbourhood Renewal: Private Sector

Dinnington Transformational Change Masterplan

- 7.12 The outturn of £465k is £364k under budget mainly due to the ongoing negotiations regarding the Monksbridge acquisition (£290k). There are 2 further demolitions and related re-housing costs which have also slipped into 2011/12 with the corresponding amount of RHB Grant being rolled forward to fund them.

Private Sector Support

- 7.13 The programme of private sector interventions was reduced in 2010/11 and surveys postponed in order to ensure RHB funding is carried over to 2011/12 to complete work started in all neighbourhoods and to meet additional costs in Maltby. This has led to a £263k underspend, partially offset by the £59k overspend on the Maltby Transformational Change Masterplan.

Pathfinder Projects

- 7.14 The Pathfinder programme is showing an underspend of £1.142m as the Joblot acquisition (£989k) and related indemnities to the tenants were originally included in the HIP budget but actually acquired through the EDS capital programme using Pathfinder funding. Use of this funding across the two capital programmes has ensured that Pathfinder funding has been fully utilised and not lost. 2010/11 was the final year of Pathfinder Grant funding.

Other Projects

HCA New Build

- 7.15 Resources from the Homes and Communities Agency for Phases 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the New Build programme in Rotherham were received in 2010/11 and the expenditure relating to the schemes is detailed within Appendix 1. In total the New Build programme is £2.557m underspent and across all of the schemes the biggest factor in this was the impact of the adverse weather in December 2010 which led to the loss of many working days. The Wood Street/School Street scheme costings also came in 5 to 10% lower than budgeted with additional

requirements to include extensive highway improvements; additionally unforeseen remediation works at Rotherview Road caused further delays. It is worth noting that the New Build funding is fully secure, providing the schemes are completed by September 2011 for Phase 1-3 and November 2011 for Phase 4.

Non HIP Schemes

- 7.16 The approved schemes within the Non HIP Capital Programme for Neighbourhoods totalled £572k. Details of the outturn position on Non HIP Schemes are listed in Appendix 2.
- 7.17 Landfill Sites had a budget allocation of £391k but as a consequence of slippage on legal negotiations on a number of sites together with conflicting contractor commitments the expected spend during 2010/11 has not materialised and the outturn figure was £175k.
- 7.18 There was an underspend of £22,861 against the Air Quality Grant due to IT problems at one of the sites. The grant is not time limited and will be rolled forward into 2011/12
- 7.19 Expenditure of £798k has been added to the Non HIP Capital Programme for Neighbourhoods for the upgrade to the Herringthorpe Cremator to ensure compliancy with mercury abatement legislation. The work has been carried out and funded by Dignity as part of the partnership agreement with the Council as approved by Cabinet 13th February 2008.
- 7.20 The Non HIP Capital programme also includes several schemes funded from previous Section 106 resources:
- Amberdale Developments - £86k for the purpose of enabling 6 affordable dwellings in Rawmarsh.
 - Cooke & Knight with Sanctuary Housing - £105k for the purpose of enabling 16 affordable dwellings for the over 55's in North Anston.
 - Johnnie Johnson Housing Association - £47k to enable 25 affordable houses and bungalows at Cliffe Bank, Swinton.

8. Finance

- 8.1 The following table shows the resources used to finance the HIP expenditure of £46.715m in 2010/11.

Source	£m
Capital Allocations and Credit Approvals	1.315
Regional Housing Board	1.454
Pathfinder Grant	1.348
General Fund Contribution	0.714
ALMO Funding	8.030
Major Repairs Allowance	15.462
Capital Receipts	3.291
Revenue Contribution to Capital Outlay (RCCO)	4.030
Disabled Facilities Grant	0.870
Growth Programme Funding	1.207
HCA New Build Grant	6.474
Prudential Borrowing re New Build	2.195
South Yorkshire Loans Fund	0.048
Other Contributions	0.277
Total	46.715

8.2 In order to fully fund the 2010 Rotherham Ltd overspend within the HIP a further Revenue Contribution to Capital Outlay from HRA balances of £330k has been utilised.

8.3 The unspent RHB grant and Growth Programme funding will be rolled forward and added to the 2011/12 HIP for which a revised programme will be submitted to Cabinet Member shortly.

9. Risks and Uncertainties

9.1 As in previous years, the HIP is supported by Right to Buy Receipts, of which the Council has no direct control, but monitors the level closely. In 2010/11 20 sales were estimated but 23 RTB's were actually sold, resulting in a slightly higher level of usable receipts available (£78k).

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

The HIP supports the new Corporate Plan Priorities and is central to the longer term Housing Strategy:

- Making sure no Community is left behind.
- Helping to create Safe and Healthy Communities.
- Improving the Environment.

11. Background Papers and Consultation

Report to Cabinet Member for Safe and Attractive Neighbourhoods 15th March 2010

Report to Cabinet 10th March 2010

Report to Cabinet Member for Safe and Attractive Neighbourhoods 4th October 2010

Report to Cabinet Member for Safe and Attractive Neighbourhoods 29th November 2010

Report to Cabinet Member for Safe and Attractive Neighbourhoods 13th December 2010

Contact Names: **Sara Fitzhugh**
Acting Finance Manager
Extension 22092
sara.fitzhugh@rotherham.gov.uk

HIP PROGRAMME OUTTURN 2010-11

APPENDIX 1

	APPROVED PROGRAMME 2010/11 £	FINAL EXPENDITURE 2010/11 £	VARIANCE 2010/11 £	% VARIANCE 2010/11
DECENT HOMES - PHASE 2				
Refurbishment	11,335,000	13,576,819	2,241,819	19.78
DH Work - Non-Traditional Properties	914,578	853,788	-60,790	-6.65
DH Work - Tied Tenancies	69,638	56,674	-12,964	-18.62
Windows	5,000,000	4,360,525	-639,475	-12.79
Environmental Works	4,267,914	4,114,302	-153,612	-3.60
DSO DH Void Programme	1,500,000	1,563,880	63,880	4.26
Capital Management Fee	1,798,114	1,798,114	0	0.00
Phase 2 Sub Total	24,885,244	26,324,101	1,438,857	5.78
OTHER DECENT HOMES SCHEMES				
Replacement of Central Heating	700,000	713,875	13,875	1.98
Electrical Board & Bond	50,000	52,492	2,492	4.98
CO Meters to Vulnerable Properties	25,000	29,965	4,965	19.86
Other Decent Homes Sub Total	775,000	796,331	21,331	2.75
TOTAL DECENT HOMES EXPENDITURE	25,660,244	27,120,432	1,460,188	5.69
OTHER CAPITAL PROJECTS				
District Heating Conversions	200,000	118,288	-81,712	-40.86
Disability Discrimination Act Works	100,000	0	-100,000	-100.00
One-Off Properties	400,000	228,196	-171,804	-42.95
Victim Support Scheme/Safer Homes	50,000	0	-50,000	-100.00
EPC Surveys	75,000	100,000	25,000	33.33
Capitalised Revenue Repairs	60,000	67,818	7,818	13.03
Flood Costs	0	96	96	100.00
Other Capital Projects Sub Total	885,000	514,398	-370,602	-41.88
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITORED BY 2010	26,545,244	27,634,830	1,089,586	4.10
FAIR ACCESS TO ALL				
PRIVATE SECTOR				
Disabled Facilities Grants (Private Sector)	1,586,000	1,604,267	18,267	1.15
PUBLIC SECTOR				
Disabled Adaptations (Public Sector)	1,800,000	1,538,122	-261,878	-14.55
Fair Access To All Sub Total	3,386,000	3,142,389	-243,611	-7.19
REGEN./NEIGHBOURHOOD RENEWAL				
PRIVATE SECTOR				
Home Assistance Grants	61,400	31,520	-29,880	-48.67
Maltby Transformational Change Masterplan(RHB)	255,000	314,487	59,487	23.33
Dinnington Transformational Change Masterplan(RHB)	829,000	465,256	-363,744	-43.88
Rural & West Baseline Report(RHB)	5,000	3,672	-1,328	-26.55
Private Sector Support(RHB)	573,000	310,109	-262,891	-45.88
Thurcroft(RHB)	125,000	120,407	-4,593	-3.67
Pathfinder Projects	2,542,000	1,399,852	-1,142,148	-44.93
PUBLIC SECTOR				
Non-Traditional Investment - Structural	2,764,380	2,882,562	118,182	4.28
Sheltered Housing Modifications(RHB)	574,916	367,621	-207,295	-36.06
Garage Site Investment	100,000	64,767	-35,233	-35.23
Regeneration/Neighbourhood Renewal Sub Total	7,829,696	5,960,254	-1,869,442	-23.88
OTHER - PUBLIC SECTOR				
'Key Choices' Property Shop	3,500	1,464	-2,036	-58.18
Bond/Rent In Advance Schemes	50,000	47,219	-2,781	-5.56
Other Public Sector Sub Total	53,500	48,683	-4,817	-9.00
HCA NEW BUILD				
Wood Street/School Street (Phase 1)	4,066,463	3,234,902	-831,561	-20.45
Newland Avenue/Stone Park Close/Albert Rd (Phase 2)	2,923,735	2,444,468	-479,267	-16.39
Albany Road /Rtherview Rd(Phase 3)	4,236,004	2,984,827	-1,251,177	-29.54
Whitehill Rd, Brinsworth (Phase 4)	0	4,744	4,744	100.00
GROWTH POINT PROGRAMME				
Growth Programme Acquisitions	1,235,000	1,164,970	-70,030	-5.67
Equity Loan Scheme	103,000	95,000	-8,000	-7.77
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAMME MONITORED BY RMBC	23,833,398	19,080,236	-4,753,162	-19.94
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAMME	50,378,642	46,715,066	-3,663,576	-7.27
RESOURCES USED				
	£m	£m		
SCE(R)	1.315	1.315		
MRA	14.542	14.542		
MRA C/F 09/10 re:Non Trad Improvements	0.920	0.920		
Almo Funding	8.030	8.030		
Disabled Facilities Grant	0.866	0.870		
Revenue Contribution to Capital Outlay	3.700	4.030		
General Fund Contribution to DFG's	0.720	0.714		
Other contributions to Public Sector	0.000	0.171		
Other contributions to Private Sector	0.000	0.106		
Regional Housing Board	2.403	1.454		
Pathfinder Grant	2.542	1.348		
New Build Grant	6.126	6.474		
Growth Programme Funding	1.338	1.207		
Prudential Borrowing re:New Build	5.100	2.195		
SY Loans Fund	0.147	0.048		
Receipts	3.213	3.291		
TOTAL	50.962	46.715		

Neighbourhoods Non HIP Programme**APPENDIX 2**

	APPROVED PROGRAMME 2010/11	FINAL EXPENDITURE 2010/11	VARIANCE 2010/11 £	% VARIANCE 2010/11
Herringthorpe Cremator Upgrade	0	798,436	798,436	100.00
Air Quality Grant	30,611	7,750	-22,861	-74.68
Contaminated Land Grant	950	0	-950	-100.00
Safer Stronger Communities Fund (Area Based Grant)	64,040	67,089	3,049	4.76
Landfill Sites	391,000	174,581	-216,419	-55.35
Amberdale Developments	85,354	85,600	246	0.29
Cooke & Knight - Sanctuary Housing	0	105,360	105,360	100.00
Johnnie Johnson Housing Association	0	47,000	47,000	100.00
	571,955	1,285,817	713,862	124.81

Representation of the Council on Other Bodies 2011– 2012

Title	Description	Council Rep.	Frequency	Councillors Role	RMBC Officer Support	How issues are reported back into the Council
Decent Homes Partnering Board	Steering Group and Core Group made up of Neighbourhoods, 2010 Rotherham Ltd and the contractors for the programme. The group look at the progress of the programme to date	2 reps. from the Improving Places Select Commission	Monthly	Representative	Dave Richmond	Performance management reports to Cabinet Member
Rotherham Licence Watch Steering Group	Licensees throughout the borough working together to address safety issues relating to drinking i.e. laws, anti social behaviour, litter, safety	Chair of Licensing Board	Monthly	Representative	Support Officer no longer in post work requires re-assignment	Group is currently co-ordinated by the Rotherham Chamber of Commerce. Concern has been expressed that a LA Champion is required
Rotherham Bond Guarantee Scheme	Bond Guarantee Scheme, recent re-organisation taken place undertaken in respect of attendance and support by Officers	Councillor McNeely Sub – rep from Improving Places Select Commission	Bi-monthly	Representative	James Greenhedge	Quarterly performance reports Annual funding report to Cabinet Member
RUSH House Management Committee	Providing the strategic direction and the overall decision making body for the accommodation and support service for	Rep from Improving Places Select Commission	Bi-monthly	Co-opt member To read papers, receive	Sandra Tolley	Elected Member to report to Cabinet Member annually

Title	Description	Council Rep.	Frequency	Councillors Role	RMBC Officer Support	How issues are reported back into the Council
	homeless people aged 16 to 23			minutes and report back.		
Social Concerns Committee Churches Together		Rep. from the Improving Places Select Commission		-	-	Churches Together do feed issues through Robond
South Yorkshire Trading Standards Committee	Originally set up to co-ordinate the work of Trading Standards across South Yorkshire. Terms of this group have now expired. Has become a liaison group for Trading Standard activity. Organisation now under re-evaluation.	Councillors McNeely and Jack	6 monthly meetings	Representative	Serviced by Sheffield City Council	Elected Member to report to Cabinet Member annually
Environmental Protection UK Yorkshire and Humberside Division	The work of the Division is carried out voluntarily by members who want to make an impact upon creating sustainable environments for future generations.	4 reps. from the Improving Places Select Commission	1 event and 3 meetings per year	Representative and information sharing	Mark Ford	Information shared between Officers including consideration of national policy
Women's Refuge	Refuge Management Committee, addresses all management, strategy, policy and operational matters of the Women's Refuge	1 Rep. from Improving Places Select Commission	Monthly	Representative	Sandra Tolley	Monthly management minutes Elected member to report back annually

Title	Description	Council Rep.	Frequency	Councillors Role	RMBC Officer Support	How issues are reported back into the Council
Sheffield City Region Housing and Regeneration Board	Elected Member for South Yorkshire – Housing issues on a regional level	Councillor McNeely	Quarterly	Sub regional political representative for South Yorkshire - consider all housing related interventions and investments	Dave Richmond	Report through Cabinet
Yorkshire and Humberside Pollution and Advisory Council	To consider all matters relating to environmental pollution and control.	Councillor <i>Sims</i> (former Cab Member Advisor) plus 2 reps. from Improving Places Select Commission	Annual Meeting	Representative	Mark Ford	Report to Improving Places Select Commission

Document is Restricted

Document is Restricted

Document is Restricted

Document is Restricted

Document is Restricted

Document is Restricted